

Rubric: Arts Foundation of Cape Cod Grants for Organizations 2024 Innovation				
Exceptional 5	Above Avg. 4	Average 3	Below Avg 2	Poor 0
The proposal demonstrates a highly innovative and original approach, introducing new ideas that significantly contribute to the enrichment of the community through the arts.	The proposal showcases a creative and novel approach, introducing elements of innovation that have the potential to bring unique value to the community.	The proposal presents a somewhat innovative approach, with elements that may distinguish it from conventional projects, but may lack a truly transformative or groundbreaking aspect.	The proposal lacks substantial innovation, relying on common approaches without introducing new or creative elements.	The proposal demonstrates little to no innovation, relying on conventional and widely-used methods without bringing any fresh or unique perspectives.
Economic Growth				
Exceptional 5	Above Avg. 4	Average 3	Below Avg 2	Poor 0
The project/program is exceptionally well-aligned to serve as a catalyst for economic growth, demonstrating a clear understanding of how the arts can contribute as a powerful economic driver on Cape Cod.	The proposal shows strong potential to support the arts as an economic driver, with a well-thought-out strategy for contributing to economic growth on Cape Cod.	The project/program has some elements that may support economic growth, but the connection to the arts as a significant economic driver may be less clearly defined.	The proposal lacks a robust strategy for contributing to economic growth through the arts, and the potential impact on the local economy is unclear.	The proposal does not demonstrate a connection between the project/program and its potential to drive economic growth through the arts on Cape Cod.
Access				
Exceptional 10	Above Avg. 8	Average 5	Below Avg 2	Poor 0
The proposal outlines a comprehensive plan that significantly expands opportunities for all community members to access and participate in the arts, fostering a broad and inclusive engagement.	The proposal presents a well-thought-out plan to expand access to the arts, promoting inclusivity and community involvement.	The proposal includes elements to expand access to the arts, but the plan may lack depth or specificity in ensuring widespread community involvement.	The proposal's approach to community access and involvement is somewhat limited, with potential gaps in ensuring equitable opportunities for all.	The proposal fails to address or provide a clear plan for expanding community access to the arts, lacking consideration for inclusive involvement.
Overall Clarity and Feasibility				
Exceptional 5	Above Avg. 4	Average 3	Below Avg 2	Poor 0
The proposal is meticulously outlined with clear clarity. The budget demonstrates a well-calibrated balance, judiciously allocating financial resources. The timeline adheres precisely to specified dates, reflecting meticulous planning. The execution plan is lucid, offering a comprehensive roadmap for implementation. Notably, the proposal is ambitiously conceived yet realistically achievable within the proposed timeline and budget, showcasing a thoughtful and strategic approach.	The proposal is skillfully articulated with commendable clarity. The budget demonstrates thoughtful resource allocation and balance. The timeline adheres effectively to specified dates, highlighting high planning precision. The execution plan is clearly presented, providing a comprehensive guide for implementation. Notably, the proposal balances ambition with realistic achievability within the proposed timeline and budget, reflecting a strategic and well-considered approach.	The proposal offers a sufficiently clear overview, with a reasonably balanced budget and acceptable resource distribution. The timeline adheres with moderate precision to specified dates. The execution plan provides a basic guide for implementation. While not overly ambitious, the proposal seems realistically achievable within the proposed timeline and budget, demonstrating a satisfactory level of planning and consideration.	The proposal's description lacks full clarity, and the budget exhibits some imbalance, indicating room for improvement in resource allocation. The timeline, though present, may lack strict adherence to specified dates, highlighting a need for greater planning precision. The execution plan is somewhat vague, offering a limited guide for implementation. While not entirely unrealistic, the proposal raises concerns about achievability within the proposed timeline and budget, suggesting a need for enhanced planning and consideration.	The proposal description lacks clarity, making it challenging to understand key elements. The budget exhibits significant imbalance, indicating a need for substantial improvement in resource allocation. The timeline is unclear and may not align with specified dates, reflecting a lack of precision in planning. The execution plan is vague, offering minimal guidance for implementation. The proposal, in its current state, appears unrealistic within the proposed timeline and budget, highlighting significant deficiencies in planning and consideration. Substantial revisions are necessary to meet basic expectations.